

Golden Apple Snail in Viet Nam

M. S. Huynh Kim Ngoc

Postal Address: 299/6 Le Quang Sung, P.6, Q.6,

Ho Chi Minh City. Viet Nam

E-mail: hkngoc@hcm.fpt.vn

GAS in Viet Nam

In Viet Nam, according to the final report of the project “Integrated Pest Management of GAS on rice in Viet Nam” in 1998, GAS was imported into Viet Nam in 1988 by various ways without thorough quarantine. Before 1988, information on newspapers referred some news from foreign businessmen bringing into Viet Nam some GAS that have miraculous characteristics such as: easy to raise, rapid growth, strong reproduction, high nitrogen level... especially, a source of news reported that many commercial companies could buy a large amount of GAS for export (Plant Protection Sub-Department of Ho Chi Minh City, 1995).

By 1990-1993, GAS was promoted, publicized on Media as “A new food industry could bring prosperity for farmer” (Plant Protection Department, 2000). So, in a short time, many people asked, inquired and rushed to find some GAS to raise and reproduce in ponds, lakes, etc in their houses with the unique purpose of getting profit.

Up to January 1991, based on many research documents, there were about 20 centers selling GAS and thousands of GAS raising houses in Mekong Delta River. In 1990, Liksin Company, a printing and paper company in HCMC invested on large-scale GAS raising enterprise in HCMC. From this place, GAS started to broaden nation wide. At the same time, 1990-1991, there were two enterprises foreign investment that raised GAS on a large-scale area for exporting purposes: One in Taân An Hoi, HCMC and the other in Kien Giang province.

In 1992, from South Viet Nam, GAS started infesting to Central and North Viet Nam (*Report of the Project TCP/VIE/6611(T), 1998*).

However, after a long time, there was less interest to buy GAS for export and local market. GAS flesh was not as good and delicious as local snails. As a

result, no one wanted to continue to raise GAS. The end of the miraculous story was similar to the Philippines. From the private ponds, lakes, GAS started to invade ditches, canals and then the rice fields (PPD of HCMC, 1995).

Damage by GAS on rice was first recognized in Kien Giang province in 1994. Many rice fields had to be re-sown 2 or 3 times because of the ravages of GAS (PPD, 1995). In Thu Duc and Hoc Mon district, HCMC, in 1994, GAS seriously damaged water morning glory (*Ipomoea aquatica*).

According to statistics, in 11/1994, GAS only infested 38 provinces and damaged 1.678 ha/rice, 140 ha/vegetable. After 4 years in 1998, infestation increased to 57/61 provinces, cities, 304/534 districts on the whole country with different infestation levels. Many provinces in the North and Central Viet Nam recognized the presence of GAS but less damage on rice was reported (Hung, Tran Quy, 1999). On the contrary, in Mekong Delta River, South Viet Nam with about 1.826.000 ha of rice field, were infested because of favorable climatic conditions, rich of food source, sowing year-round, interlock river system, and flooding every year. As a result, GAS could reproduce freely and infestation area increased greatly especially in years of floods. In Ca Mau, Bac Lieu, Kien Giang, Soc Trang, Dong Thap, Vinh Long GAS density per square meter was very high. In some districts in HCMC, GAS population density reached 50-200 snails per square meter (PPD of HCM, 1994). Generally, GAS infestation area increased yearly mainly on rice and vegetable (*Ipomoea aquatica*).

Table1. GAS infestation area from 1994-1997.

Year	GAS infestation area (ha)			
	Rice (ha)	<i>I. aquatica</i> (ha)	Ponds, lakes (m ²)	Canals, ditches (km)
1994	1,678	140	/	/
1995	3,872	205	8,723	1,050
1996	57,863	2,087	12,923	2,744
1997	109,715	3,479	15,182	3,886

(Source: Plant Protection Department, 2000)

Table 2. Statistics on GAS infestation area in some provinces of Viet Nam.

Province	Date	Infestation area (ha)	Infestation area on rice (ha)
Ca Mau	5/1999	61.685	12.150
Kien Giang	5/1999	/	6.385
Dong Thap	3/1999	339	315
Bac Ninh	3/1999	575	458
Ho Chi Minh City	11/1999	509	327

(Source: Saigon Economics Times, 9/6/1999)

Some Investigations and Comments on GAS in Viet Nam.

1. Size and Shape:

- Compared to the past records, the present GAS is smaller with a black shell (or black with yellow stripes) but harder than before. Eggcluster is still pink/reddish.
- GAS on vegetable field is bigger than the one in rice field

2. Habitat:

- At 0.5% salty water, GAS is normally still alive.
- At 0.6%, GAS could be affected.
- At 0.8 %, 100% GAS died after 3 days (in pot testing) (*H. K. Ngoc, 1997*).
(In Nha Be–CanGio district in nearby HCMC, during dry season, GAS could not be found because of salty water (around 0.6%).)
- In artificial drought condition in basin, GAS showed that they buried themselves in moist mud and dig at the average depth of 8 cm after one month (*H. K. Ngoc, 1998*).

3. Male/Female rate:

Table 3. Male/Female rate in some provinces in Viet Nam.

Investigating site	Male/Female rate	GAS Density/m ²
ThuaThien-Hue (Central VN)	1 / 5.5	4.0
Quang Ngai (Central VN)	1 / 1.4	0.2
Nghe An (Central VN)	1 / 2.6	0,7
Hai Phong (North VN)	1 / 1.1	0.4
HCMC (South VN)	1 / 2.2	5.0

(Source: Le Duc Dong, 1998; H.K. Ngoc, 1997)

4. Feeding habit:

- In Viet Nam, according to the investigations of PPD, more than 20 kinds of vegetables, rice, water fern are the food of GAS.
- On rice, GAS prefers direct-seeded rice to transplanted rice.
- On vegetable, *I. aquatica*, GAS only eats roots, stems under water and young leaves.
- Observing 16 GAS per square meter, GAS could eat 100% newly sown rice and 20% newly transplanted rice within 1 day (PPD, 2000).

5. Egg:

- Investigations on 10 sites in HCMC, the average egg numbers / eggcluster is 224. (H. K. Ngoc, 1998).
- The average egg number / eggcluster on vegetable is higher than on rice.
- In pot testing, one GAS could lay 1580 eggs in one month (H. K. Ngoc, 1998).

6. Damage:

- In direct-seeded rice, the most vulnerable stage is the first 2-week.
- Little damage is seen on transplanted rice.

- Damage by GAS seen especially on vegetable mainly on *I. aquatica*.
- In Viet Nam, GAS mainly damages during the rainy season: from June to December (especially in August-November).

7. Natural enemies:

Egg period:

- Black ants: Very active, appear at newly laid eggcluster, normally 1 –2 ants / eggcluster. One ant could attack many eggs. Black ants are potential biocontrol agents (*H.K. Ngoc, 1998*).

Young GAS period:

- Rats
- Ducks
- Snakes
- Fishes--Carp, Black carp, catfish. In South Viet Nam, catfish is more favorable. Testing in Can Tho showed that raising carp, black carp, catfish with the density of 3 fishes / m², result observation after 12 weeks showed that GAS population decreases 80 – 96% compared to the first record. They mainly eats young GAS (< 1cm) but catfish could eat both (young and mature GAS by eating flesh inside. Catfish is well suited to South Viet Nam condition (*Can Tho Extension Center, 1998*) while in North Viet Nam, carp and black carp are more important. They can eat 73-87% GAS (*PPD of Quang Binh, 1998*). Raising fish in rice field do not affect rice yield but help farmers to increase income by selling fish (*Report of the project : GAS IPM on rice in Viet Nam, 1998*)

Mature GAS period:

- Ducks
- Humans: Nowadays, hand picking GAS is the most effective, economic way to control GAS in Viet Nam. GAS can be used for human food but mainly sold to duck, fish, python, shrimp farm. 1 kg GAS costs about 1,500 VND (= 10 cent USD). By picking GAS, income of farmers could improve considerably. In Ca Mau province only from 1-5/1999 about 2,686 tons of GAS, 67 tons of eggcluster were picked (*Source: Saigon Economic Times, No.46, 9/6/1999*).

Result of Control GAS in HCM from 1994-2000.

From 1994 to the present, GAS control measures has been implemented in HCMC with various aspects as follows:

- Farmer meeting: more than 200 GAS IPM classes for 11.000 farmers were organized.
- Broadcasting 140.000 GAS leaflets for farmers and pupils in high school and 5,000 posters with the content: " GAS is the disaster of farmer, Let's control GAS, Save rice."
- Cooperating with HCMC TV, Newspaper to propagandize GAS catastrophe.

Table 4. Result of GAS control campaign in HCMC (From 1994-2000).

Year	Result (Handpicking)	
	GAS (kg)	Eggcluster (kg)
1994	103.160	1.679
1995	155.567	5.076
1996	58.306	431
1997	/	/
1998	36.125	20
1999	26.950	/
2000	27.782	/

(Source: PPD of HCM, 2000)

Control measures of GAS in Viet Nam:

1. Handpicking:

- Up to now, this is the most effective, economical, practical way to control GAS in Viet Nam. Collecting GAS by hand can help farmers and pupils in countryside earn money. In only one collection day, they can earn normally USD 2-5.

2. Pesticide: There are many kinds such as: Padan 4G (Cartap), Deadline Bullets (Metaldehyde), Thiodane/Endosol (Endosulfan). However, generally in Viet Nam, pesticide use is not popular because of many reasons:

- Expensive : Deadline Bullets (6 kg/ha)
- Very toxic : Thiodane, Endosol(1L/ha)
- Inconvenient (use with big amount of pesticide): Metaldehyde (30 kg/ha)
- Only control young GAS : Padan 4G (20 kg/ha)

3. Lime: Very effective to control GAS: Broadcast 200kg/1000m² (before sowing or right after harvesting), keep water level 2-5 cm within 2-3 days and then drain. (H K Ngoc, 2000).

4. Copper sulphate (CuSO₄): Use 5 kg CuSO₄ + 5 L water + 30 kg sand and then broadcast on rice field, keep water level 5 cm within 3-5 days (Nguyen Xuan Niem, 2000).

5. Pig bran: Broadcast pig bran on water surface to attract GAS and then catch them.

6. Biological control:

- *Nerium oleander* L: 30-40 kg / ha(leaf)
- *Melia azedarach* L: 20-30 kg / ha(grain)
- *Derris elliptica*: 30-40 kg / ha (root)

(Source: PPD, 1998)

- *Ocimum basilicum* L: In pot testing, an active ingredient in a kind of basil *O. basilicum* (1 kg leaf + 5L water) gave good efficacy to control GAS, 100% GAS (8) died after 24 hours after treatment (H. K. Ngoc, 2000).
- Attractant plants: *Carica papaya* (leaf and stem), *Manihot esculenta* (leaf and stem)

7. Ploughing and harrowing: Before planting do at the same time with applying fertilizer or after harvesting.

8. Adjust low water level: Maintain low water level (0-3 cm) from sowing to 15 days after sowing to limit movement and damage of GAS.

Literature Cited

Vietnamese documents

Cuic Baùo Veä Thöic Vaät, 2000. *OÁC böôu vaøng, bieän phaùp phoøng tröø*. Nhaø xuaát baün noâng nghieäp, Haø Noãi, 88 trang.

Cuic Baùo Veä Thöic Vaät, 1996. *Quaùn lyù toäng hõip oác böôu vaøng*. Cuic Baùo Veä Thöic Vaät vaø toå chöüc FAO, Haø Noãi, 53 trang.

Töi nieän baùch khoa baùo veä thöic vaät. Nhaø xuaát baün noâng nghieäp, 1996, 813 trang, trang tham khaùo : 485.

Soå tay söu duïng thuoác baùo veä thöic vaät. Công Ty Thuoác Tröø Saâu SaøiGoøn, Nhaø xuaát baün Noâng Nghieäp, 1996, 120 trang.

Phoøng tröø coù daii, saâu, beänh haii luùa baèng thuoác hoùa hoïc. Công ty vaät tö Baùo Veä Thöic Vaät II, Nhaø xuaát baün Noâng Nghieäp, 2000, 80 trang.

KS Traàn Thò Mai Phöông, Ngoà Thò Hoaøi, Thaùi Vaên Chieäu, Lê Thò Duyeän, Ñoaøn Thò Quyeän, 1997. *Baùo caùo keát quaù thöu nghieäm thuoác dieät oác böôu vaøng*, Chi Cuic Baùo Veä Thöic Vaät Long An.

Cuic Baùo Veä Thöic Vaät, 1998. *Baùo caùo toäng keát döi aùn Quaùn lyù toäng hõip dòch haii oác böôu vaøng treän luùa ôu Vieät Nam, TCP/VIE/6611(T)*, Cuic Baùo Veä Thöic Vaät.

Söu Noâng Nghieäp Vaø PTNT thaønh phoá Hoà Chí Minh, 1996. *Baùo caùo keát quaù dieät oác böôu vaøng treän ñò baøn thaønh phoá töø cuoái naêm 1994 ñeán ñàùu naêm 1996*. Baùo caùo soá 796/BC-NNPTNT-BCÑ, ngaøy 3 / 7 / 1996.

Cuic Baùo Veä Thöic Vaät, 1998. *OÁC böôu vaøng ôu Vieät Nam vaø moät soá bieän phaùp phoøng tröø chuùng*. Baùo caùo taii hoài thaùo quoc teá veä bieän phaùp toäng hõip phoøng tröø oác böôu vaøng haii luùa, toå chöüc taii Ngheä An, Vieät Nam, töø ngaøy 3 – 5/8/1998.

Chi Cuic BTV Quaùng Ngaõi, 1998. *Baùo caùo keát quaù cuùa bieän phaùp phoøng tröø toäng hõip oác böôu vaøng taii tænh Quaùng Ngaõi*. Baùo caùo soá 2286 ngaøy 2/07/1998.

Chi Cuic BTV thaønh phoá Hoà Chí Minh, 1995. *Baùo caùo sö keát công taùc caám nuôi vaø dieät tröø oác böôu vaøng treän ñò baøn thaønh phoá Hoà Chí Minh*. Baùo caùo soá 16/BC-BTV, ngaøy 27/2/1995.

Chi Cuic BTV Quaùng Bình, 1998. *Baùo caùo keát quaù thöu nghieäm duøng bieän phaùp sinh hoïc phoøng tröø oác böôu vaøng*. Baùo caùo ngaøy 30/6/1998.

Chi Cuic BVTV Ngheä An, 1998. *Bàuo caùo keát quaû duøng caù phoøng trøø oác böôu vaøng treân ñòa baøn tænh Ngheä An (1997 – 6/1998)*. Bàuo caùo ngaøy 30/6/1998.

Chi Cuic BVTV Haø Noäi, 1998. *Bàuo caùo veà keát quaû phoøng trøø oác böôu vaøng cuûa Chi Cuic BVTV Haø Noäi*. Bàuo caùo soá 143BC/BV-KDTV, ngaøy 10/6/1998.

Chi Cuic BVTV Quaúng Trò, 1998. *Bàuo caùo coâng taùc dieät trøø oác böôu vaøng*. Bàuo caùo cuûa Chi Cuic BVTV Quaúng Trò ngaøy 16/6/1998.

Trung Taâm Khuyeán Noàng Caàn Thô, 1998. *Bàuo caùo keát quaû hai ñôit thí nghieäm duøng bieän phaùp sinh hoïc phoøng trøø oác böôu vaøng treân ruoäng luùa taii Caàn Thô*. Bàuo caùo ngaøy 26/6/1998.

Chi Cuic BVTV thaønh phoá HCM. Caùc bàuo caùo haøng naêm: 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000 veà tình hình dòch haïi treân ñòa baøn thaønh phoá.

Chi Cuic BVTV thaønh phoá Hoà Chí Minh, 1998. *Bàuo caùo kyõ thuaät caùc lôùp Quaûn lý toảng hoïp oác böôu vaøng*. Bàuo caùo ngaøy 1/10/1996.

Thôoi bàuo kinh teá Vieät Nam, soá 45, ngaøy 9/6/1999. *Dieät oác böôu vaøng ñuùng caùch*. Baøi phuøng vaán OÂng Traàn Quyù Huøng, Cuic trööüng Cuic Bàuo Veä Thöïc Vaät.

Foreign documents

Anderson B, 1993. The Philippines snail disaster. *The Ecologist*, **23**, 70 – 72.

Anonymous, 1986. How to raise golden snail. *The Baptist farmer*, **3**, 31.

Asaka A., and Sato, 1987. Feeding inhibitory efficacy of Cartap and Bensultap against the apple snail, *Pomacea canaliculata*. Japanese Journal of Applied Entomology and Zoology. **31**, pp. 339-343.

Aquino R. R, 1993. Ground golden snail *Ampullarius (Pomacea) canaliculata* as fertilizer increases rice yield. *International Rice Research Notes*, **18:2**, 33pp.

Basilio R, 1991. Problems of golden snail infestation in rice farming. In: International Center for Living Aquatic Resources Management. pp. 11-12.

Cazzaniga N. J, 1990. Sexual dimorphism in *Pomacea canaliculata*. *Veliger*, **33** (4): 384 – 388.

Edra R, 1991. Introduction of the golden snail and escalation of its Philippines riceland. In: B.O. Acosta and R.S.V. Pullin (eds) Environment impact of the

golden snail (*Pomacea* sp). On rice farming system in the Philippines (Manila: International Center for Living Aquatic Resources Management), pp 11.

Fiorentino M. Olivares, Jr., Jose R. Medina, Jr., and Santiago R. Obien, 1992. *Integrated Pest Management Activities on the Golden Snail in the Philippines: a Progress Report*.

Department of Agriculture, Philippines and FAO, 1989. *Integrated “ Golden” Kuhol Management*.

Farm Chemical Handbook, 2001. MeisterPRO Co. P: C78 – C 258.

Halwart M, 1992. Fish as a component of IPM in rice production. (In: Manila: International Institute for Rural Reconstruction and International Center for Living Aquatic Resources Management). 4 pp.

Halwart M, 1994a. The golden apple snail in Asian rice farming systems: Present impact and future threat. *International Journal of Pest Management*, **40**(2): 199-206.

Halwart M, 1994b. The potential of *Cyprinus carpio* (L.) and *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.) as Biological Plant Protection Agent in Rice Production (Weikersheim: Margraaf Verlag), 151pp.

Litsinger J.A., and D.B.Estano, 1993. Management of the golden apple snail *Pomacea canaliculata* (Lamarck) in rice. *Crop Protection*, **2**, 363-370.

Mochida O, 1991. Spread of freshwater *Pomacea* snails from Argentina to Asia. *Micronesia supplement*, **3**: 51-62.

Morallo-Rejesus B., E. G Punzalan, 1997. Molluscicidal action of some Philippines plants on snail: *Pomacea* spp. *Philippine Entomologist*, **11** (1): 65-79.

Nichimen Corp. HCMC. Report testing: Bio-efficacy test protocol for Padan 4G (Cartap) against Golden Apple Snail (*Pomacea canaliculata*) in rice field (private test), 2000.

Oya S., Y. Hirai, and Y. Miyahara, 1987. Overwintering of the apple snail, *Pomacea canaliculata* Lamarck, in north Kyushu. *Japanese Journal of Applied Entomology and Zoology*, **31**: 206-212.